

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing claims 22-26.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting based on U.S. Patent No. 6,635,925 (Taniguchi) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,844,272 (Soderbarg). Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejection. Accordingly, all claims should be allowed.


Applicant's attorney draws the Examiner's attention to the fact that the following statement on page 1 of the August 8, 2006 Reply is incorrect: "Applicants note that the present application, filed on March 23, 2004 as a result of a restriction requirement, is a divisional application of the Taniguchi et al. patent which issued on August 31, 2004."

Although the pending application is indeed a divisional application, it claims priority from a different Taniguchi application (serial no. 09/652,044), now U.S. Patent No. 6,784,059. Applicant's attorney regrets the misstatement, which was made without any attempt to deceive.

Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/17/07



Samuel Borodach
Reg. No. 38,388

Fish & Richardson P.C.
Citigroup Center
52nd Floor
153 East 53rd Street
New York, New York 10022-4611
Telephone: (212) 765-5070
Facsimile: (212) 258-2291